


RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 18 2006

Attorney Docket No. ORTV.P005	<u>Patent</u>
<b><u>Transmittal of Response to Office Action</u></b>	
<i>Certification Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)</i>	
Transmitted to USPTO Central Facsimile No.	<u>July 18, 2006</u> Date of Transmission
<b>571-273-8300</b>	
I hereby certify that this document, and any other accompanying documents referred to herein are being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent Office to the facsimile number and on the date indicated above.	
Barbara B. Courtney <hr/> (Print Name of Person Transmitting Facsimile)	 <hr/> (Signature of Person Transmitting Facsimile)

Atty. Docket No. ORTV.P005

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE**

In Re Patent Application of:	)	Examiner:	Dennis G. Bonshock
Graham V. Poor, et al.	)	Art Unit:	2173
Application No. 09/873,123	)		
Filed: May 31, 2001	)		
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR EXTENDING	)		
A WIRELESS DEVICE PLATFORM TO	)		
MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS	)		

USPTO CENTRAL FAX 571-273-8300  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

Sir:

***Response under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111***

This is a response to the Final Office Action mailed February 23, 2006.  
Applicants respectfully request entry of the following amendments and consideration of the Remarks.

Attorney Docket No. ORTV.P005

1

***Petition for Extension of Time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)***

Applicants submit herewith a Petition for a two month Extension of Time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). Applicants further submit herewith the payment of the fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(2).

***Preliminary matters***

The Office Action requested that the application be referred to as "Poor et al." Applicants have complied with the request.

The Office Action states that claims 1-25 have been examined. Applicants respectfully disagree and request correction. Claims 7-14 were subject to restriction in the Office Action mailed February 24, 2004. Applicants' response to the requirement for restriction filed May 18, 2004 elected claims 1-6 and 15-24, and canceled claims 7-14. As such, claims 7-14 have not been examined.

The Office Action further states that claims 7-14 have been canceled by the Applicants. Applicants would like to clarify that claims 7-14 were withdrawn from prosecution in response to a requirement for restriction, and therefore the cancellation of claims 7-14 does not in any way reflect on their patentability.

***Interview Summary***

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for conducting a telephonic interview on June 21, 2006 in this application. Applicants submit herewith their Summary of the Interview under 37 C.F.R. § 1.333 as a separate document.